

Parasitology Research

Relationship between body size and sexual size dimorphism in Syringophilid Quill Mites --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	PARE-D-21-00726R4
Full Title:	Relationship between body size and sexual size dimorphism in Syringophilid Quill Mites
Article Type:	Original Paper
Section/Category:	Genetics, Evolution, and Phylogeny
Funding Information:	
Abstract:	<p>A positive relationship of body size and sexual size dimorphism (males' size relative to females), called Rensch's Rule, is often observed in comparisons within non-parasitic taxa. However, this allometric relationship has rarely been tested in comparisons across closely related parasite species. Since male sexual rivalry is often regarded as the main cause of this phenomenon, the present study tests this rule in a taxon where sexual selection is almost totally absent in males. Body size data of (non-physogastric) female and male quill mites (Acari: Syringophilidae) were gathered from the literature to investigate this relationship. The data set consisted of 113 species representing 8 genera. For the data set as a whole, increasing body size came together with decreasing relative body size of males (relative to females), a phenomenon known as Converse Rensch's Rule. Repeating the same analysis for the 8 genera separately, similar patterns were found in 4 significant and 3 non-significant cases. There was a significant tendency to comply with Rensch's Rule only in one genus, the <i>Neoaulonastus</i>. Thus Converse Rensch's Rule is the primary trend in Syringophilid quill mites that appears repeatedly and independently in several genera. This phenomenon is probably caused by their extreme inbreeding, which strongly reduces sexual competition among males in this taxon.</p>
Corresponding Author:	Lajos Rozsa, PhD, DSc ELKH Centre for Ecological Research: Okologiai Kutatokozpont HUNGARY
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	ELKH Centre for Ecological Research: Okologiai Kutatokozpont
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
Order of Authors:	Lajos Rozsa, PhD, DSc Evelyn Moldovan
First Author:	Lajos Rozsa, PhD, DSc
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Author Comments:	<p>Dear Editor, thanks for the corrections. All suggested changes are realized in the present ms version.</p> <p>Best wishes, Lajos Rozsa</p>

1

2 **Relationship between body size and sexual size dimorphism in Syringophilid Quill Mites**

3

4 Lajos Rózsa¹5 Evelyn Moldovan²

6

7 1 Institute of Evolution, ELKH Centre for Ecological Research, Konkoly-Thege street 29-33, Budapest, H-1121

8 Hungary

9 2 Hungarian Department of Biology and Ecology, Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

10

11 Corresponding author: Lajos Rózsa

12 email address: rozsa.lajos@ecolres.hu

13 ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9470-0934>

14

15 **Abstract**

16 A positive relationship of body size and sexual size dimorphism (males' size relative to females), called Rensch's
17 Rule, is often observed in comparisons within non-parasitic taxa. However, this allometric relationship has rarely
18 been tested in comparisons across closely related parasite species. Since male sexual rivalry is often regarded as the
19 main cause of this phenomenon, the present study tests this rule in a taxon where sexual selection is almost totally
20 absent in males. Body size data of (non-physogastric) female and male quill mites (Acari: Syringophilidae) were
21 gathered from the literature to investigate this relationship. The data set consisted of 113 species representing 8
22 genera. For the data set as a whole, increasing body size came together with decreasing relative body size of males
23 (relative to females), a phenomenon known as Converse Rensch's Rule. Repeating the same analysis for the 8 genera

24 separately, similar patterns were found in 4 significant and 3 non-significant cases. There was a significant tendency
25 to comply with Rensch's Rule only in one genus, the *Neoaulonastus*. Thus Converse Rensch's Rule is the primary
26 trend in Syringophilid quill mites that appears repeatedly and independently in several genera. This phenomenon is
27 probably caused by their extreme inbreeding, which strongly reduces sexual competition among males in this taxon.

28

29 **Keywords**

30 quill mites, sexual selection in parasites, Rensch's rule, converse Rensch's Rule

31

32 **Declarations**

33 **Funding** Open access funding was provided by the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, MTA.

34 **Conflicts of interest/Competing interests** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

35 **Availability of data and material** Not applicable.

36 **Code availability** Not applicable.

37 **Ethics approval** Not applicable.

38 **Consent to participate** Not applicable.

39 **Consent for publication** Not applicable.

40

41 **Introduction**

42 Most parasites reproduce sexually, or at least both sexually and asexually, giving rise to sexual selection as a
43 potentially influential force on their evolution. However, sexual selection is not easy to measure directly. Most often
44 only its indirect effects are detected, such as the sexually selected morphological traits of parasites. Sexual
45 dimorphism in body size is widespread among dioecious parasites, such as in Nematodes, Acanthocephalans,
46 Gamasid mites, fleas, and lice (see e.g. Poulin 1997; Caddigan et al. 2017; Surkova et al. 2018; Piross et al. 2019). It

47 is of outstanding importance since body size influences metabolism, length of development, longevity, sexual
48 competitive ability, and fecundity (for insects, see e.g. Honěk 1993; Waller and Svensson 2017; Beukeboom 2018).
49 Female fecundity likely increases with body size in ectoparasitic arthropods, because larger females can produce
50 more offspring (Villa et al. 2018). Similarly, larger males can produce more sperm than smaller ones, which is an
51 adaptive advantage under the circumstances of sperm competition that characterizes male-male rivalry in many
52 ectoparasitic arthropods (Pap et al. 2013; Rózsa et al. 2015). Moreover, body size data are readily available from the
53 taxonomic literature, such as species descriptions (for ectoparasites see e.g., Harnos et al. 2017).

54 In comparisons across closely related species, male body size relative to female size tends to increase with the
55 average size of the species, an allometric relationship called Rensch's Rule (RR). More specifically, RR postulates
56 that sexual size dimorphism decreases with the species body size in taxa where males are smaller than females, and it
57 increases in taxa where males are larger than females (Rensch 1959). Several free-living (i.e., non-parasitic) animals,
58 and most often vertebrates, have been analyzed in this respect, and a majority of them proved the validity of RR (see
59 e.g. Smith and Cheverud 2002; Székely et al. 2004). Nonetheless, opposite trends (where male relative size decreases
60 with the size of the species) also occur in some taxa, a phenomenon known as Converse Rensch's Rule (ConRR).
61 This trend characterizes, among others, several bird families (Webb and Freckleton 2007).

62 Although parasitism is a most widespread life strategy in the biosphere (Poulin and Morand 2014), almost all studies
63 on RR or ConRR focus on free-living animals, with very few studies on parasites. Poulin (1996) showed that
64 parasitic copepods follow RR, but parasitic nematodes do not comply (Poulin, 1997). Surkova et al. (2018) found
65 that fleas (Siphonaptera) obey, but parasitic Gamasid mites disobey RR. Piross et al. (2019) recently showed that two
66 families of avian lice (Menoponidae, Philopterae) comply with RR, and the third one (Ricinidae) comply with
67 ConRR. The potential reasons for these contradictory patterns are not clearly understood. Since sexual selection (and
68 male-to-male sexual rivalry in particular) is often argued to be the driving force of RR (see e.g. Székely et al. 2004),
69 it is worth testing its validity in sexually reproducing taxa that are characterized by the absence of sexual selection.
70 RR is predicted not to apply to these taxa.

71 Syringophilid quill mites constitute a species-rich (406 species in 63 genera up to the present, Zmudzinski et al.
72 2020) family of Prostigmatic mites (Acari: Acariformes: Prostigmata) that are permanently associated with avian
73 (Vertebrata: Aves) feathers. They likely appeared about 180–185 million years ago in the Early Jurassic, presumably

74 on feathered dinosaurs (Dabert et al. 2010). All known representatives of the family inhabit feather quills. Members
75 of the subfamily Syringophilinae tend to inhabit the quills of primaries, secondaries, and wing coverts, while
76 Picobiinae species are found in the quills of body contour feathers (Skoracki et al. 2012a).

77 They exhibit a remarkable life cycle. A single fertilized female enters the soft calamus of a developing feather
78 through the superior umbilicus (Casto 1974). This opening is getting closed soon, and the female will produce
79 offspring, most often a single male and several females, developing in this enclosed space. The offspring then
80 fertilize each other, and produce one more generation still enclosed in the same feather quill. Again, only a single
81 male offspring is produced by each female, which will fertilize their sisters and cousins. Finally, only fertilized
82 females disperse to search for developing feathers either on the same host individual or on another one (Kethley
83 1971; Skoracki et al. 2012a). The most frequent type of transmission is probably the parent-offspring route.

84 Given that brothers, sisters, and first cousins tend to fertilize each other, the population structure of quill mites must
85 be highly inbred. On some rare occasions, however, two or more females may invade the same quill to found new
86 subpopulations in parallel (see e.g. Casto 1974; Skoracki et al. 2020), potentially giving rise to sexual competition
87 and sexual selection between the males. Nevertheless, such events must be rare because of the space limitation
88 within the feather quills. Given that sexual selection is almost totally lacking in this taxon, the objective of this study
89 was to test the predicted absence of RR in quill mites.

90

91 **Materials and Methods**

92 Different genera that inhabit different microhabitats in the bird plumage were included. Thus, as an arbitrary
93 decision, 9 relatively species-rich genera were chosen for the present analysis: *Syringophiloidus*, *Syringophilopsis*,
94 *Aulobia*, and *Torotroglia* which infest the secondaries and primaries, *Aulonastus*, and *Neoaulonastus* which infest the
95 small coverts, and *Picobia*, *Gunabopicobia*, and *Neopicobia* which infest the contour feathers.

96 The taxonomic literature was not sampled to gather data; instead, every species description ever published was
97 involved. The comprehensive list of species descriptions by Zmudzinski et al. (2020) was used as a starting point for
98 orientation in the literature. The body size data were obtained from the species descriptions of Bochkov (2001),
99 Bochkov and Apanaskevich (2001), Bochkov and Galloway (2001, 2004), Bochkov and Mironov (1998, 1999),

100 Bochkov et al. (2000, 2001, 2004, 2009), Chirov and Kravtsova (1995), Fain et al. (2000), Glowska (2014), Glowska
101 and Skoracki (2011), Glowska et al. (2015, 2018), Kethley (1970), Klimovičová et al. (2016), Nattress and Skoracki
102 (2007), Sikora et al. (2011, 2014, 2016), Skoracki (1999, 2002a, b, 2004a, b, c, 2011, 2017), Skoracki and Bochkov
103 (2010), Skoracki and Dabert (1999, 2000, 2001a, b, 2002), Skoracki and Glowska (2008a, b), Skoracki and Hromada
104 (2013), Skoracki and Magowski (2001), Skoracki and Mironov (2013), Skoracki and OConnor (2010), Skoracki and
105 Sikora (2003, 2014), Skoracki et al. (2000b, 2001a, b, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2008a, b, 2010a, b, c, d, 2011, 2012b,
106 2013a, b, 2014a, b, c, 2016a, b, c, 2017, 2018).

107 In certain arthropods, there is an alternative female phenotype characterized by a greatly enlarged abdomen (or
108 hysterosoma, in mites) size. These females, called physogastric females, occur together with “normal” females. This
109 phenomenon is well known in the quill mite subfamily Picobiinae but not in Syringophilinae (Skoracki et al. 2012a).
110 Thus the adult females of the former subfamily may have two alternative phenotypes; the more frequent non-
111 physogastric and the less common physogastric form. The adaptive value of this dimorphism is unknown (Skoracki
112 et al. 2000a). To ensure comparability of body size data across the two subfamilies, all physogastric body size data
113 were excluded from the present study.

114 The majority of species were excluded from the present analyses because either the male or (in rare occasions) the
115 non-physogastric female body length was not known. The genus *Gunabopicobia* was excluded because it had only a
116 single species where both body length data were available. Further, *Torotroglia calcarius* Skoracki 2004 exhibited an
117 unusual characteristic in the sense that the males were larger than the single female known. The author of this species
118 description stated that this female specimen was slightly distorted and its length measurement was likely incorrect
119 (Maciej Skoracki, personal communication), thus it was also excluded from the data set. Worth noting that, if
120 included, this data point would further increase the statistical significance of results.

121 The total body length (in micrometers) values were used as a measure of body size. Whenever it was possible, the
122 data of the holotype specimens were used. Otherwise, the mean of the extreme values of the body length range of the
123 series of paratype specimens was calculated. Males are always smaller-sized than females in this taxon. In the
124 present sample of species, female body length ranged between 405–1320, and male body length between 270–955
125 μm .

126 Raw data of body length did not vary more than one magnitude and, therefore, logarithmic (or any other)
127 transformation of data was not applied. Following Reiczigel et al. (2014), homoscedasticity of data was tested using
128 the F-test, and normality of the residuals was checked using the Shapiro-Wilk test ($P > 0.05$ in all cases). Reduced
129 Major Axis (RMA) regressions (Legendre 2018) were used to describe how male and female body lengths were
130 correlated. A slope of the regression line > 1 was considered as a proof of RR, and a slope < 1 as a proof of ConRR.
131 The significance levels were judged using the 95% of confidence intervals of the slopes.

132 Closely related species are more likely to share similar characters than distantly related ones. Therefore, when
133 analyzing statistical covariation between two characters across a set of species, it is necessary to control for
134 phylogenetic associations (Felsenstein 1985). In the present case, unfortunately, the phylogeny of Syringophilids is
135 not adequately known to apply advanced statistical methods for this purpose. Therefore, this goal was approached by
136 the following simplified way. First, the analysis was carried out using the data of all species regardless of their
137 taxonomic position. Second, the same calculations were carried out for all the genera separately to see if the same
138 pattern is repeated independently. Presuming that the taxonomic classification mirrors the true phylogeny of species,
139 this is regarded as a simplified statistical control for phylogeny.

140

141 **Results**

142 The data set contained a total of 113 species belonging to 8 genera that inhabit 3 different microhabitats in the host
143 plumage. As a whole, the data followed ConRR in the sense that the entire 95% confidence interval of its slope fell
144 within the $0 < \text{slope} < 1$ range. Considering the slopes of the 8 genera separately yielded in roughly similar patterns.
145 Only one genus, namely *Neoaulonastus*, exhibited a significant trend to follow RR (slope > 1). Worth noting that this
146 genus also had the lowest sample size (number of species = 6). Independently from each other, all the other genera
147 followed ConRR, and this trend was significant in 4, and non-significant in 3 cases (Table 1, Fig. 1).

148 The eight slopes of the genus-level RMA regression models had a mean of 0.739 that differs significantly (one-
149 sample t-test, $t = 2.914$, $df = 7$, $P = 0.022$) from the hypothetical value of 1 (which would signify no relationship
150 between body size and sexual size dimorphism).

151

152 **Discussion**

153 As demonstrated above, ConRR characterizes the family quill mites (Syringophilidae) as a whole. It is not a
154 phylogenetic artefact, rather a phenomenon that appears repeatedly and independently across several, if not all, quill
155 mite genera. Published interpretations of RR and ConRR are diverse and often contradicting, as summarized by
156 Piross et al. (2019). One possible explanation of the present results is outlined below.

157 Increasing body size is likely to come with increasing costs and benefits for females. First, larger females evidently
158 occupy more space and consume more food to develop and maintain their bodies, decreasing the space and other
159 resources available for their own siblings and offspring. Due to their increased metabolism, presumably, larger
160 bodies evoke more intensive host defenses, such as more intense immune response or more intense preening and
161 grooming while the mite is out of the quill. These factors likely constitute increased costs of larger body sizes. On
162 the other hand, larger females likely enjoy a reproductive benefit; they can probably produce a greater number and
163 larger-sized offspring (like in feather lice, see Villa et al. 2018). In male individuals, the potential costs of larger body
164 size are similar to that of females; more space occupied, more food consumed, and more defensive responses evoked.
165 The potential benefits of larger male body size, however, are (almost) absent. Given that sexual rivalry is almost
166 totally absent in males, larger size does not ensure a reproductive advantage in the male sex. This is a possible reason
167 why the increase of female body size is not followed by a comparable increase of male body size in Syringophilid
168 quill mites. This interpretation corresponds the so-called Sexual Selection Hypothesis of RR (Fairbairn and Preziosi
169 1994; Fairbairn 1997).

170 If this explanation is correct, it may also support the recent results and interpretations of Piross et al.'s (2019) study
171 on avian lice (Phthiraptera). Among avian lice, only one family, the Ricinidae, complied with ConRR. Note that this
172 family possesses the largest body size relative to the host body size (Harnos et al. 2017). Therefore, only a few
173 individuals may occur on an individual host. Moreover, their prevalence also tends to be low (Rheinwald 1968;
174 Nelson 1972), and thus multiple infestations are necessarily rare. These factors make it likely that inbreeding is high
175 in Ricinid lice, a presumption also supported by the relatively low sex-ratio (proportion of males) in this family.

176 Briefly, the present results suggest that parasite taxa with pronounced inbreeding and, therefore, reduced male-male
177 competition are predicted to comply with ConRR, rather than RR.

178

179 **Acknowledgments**

180 We thank Bożena Sikora and Maciej Skoracki for their help to gather the species descriptions and their comments on
181 a previous version of the ms.

182

183 **References**

184 Beukeboom LW (2018) Size matters in insects – an introduction. *Entomol Exp Appl* 166: 2–3.

185 <https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.12646>

186 Bochkov AV (2001) On the taxonomy of mites of the genus *Syringophiloidus* Kethley, 1970 (Acari:
187 *Syringophilidae*) of European Part of the Russia. *Parasitologia* 35:149–153.

188 Bochkov AV, Apanaskevich D (2001) Two new species of the family *Syringophilidae* (Acari: Cheyletoidea) from
189 passeriform birds collected in the Altai. *Folia Parasit* 48:321–325. <https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2001.050>

190 Bochkov AV, Galloway TD (2001) Parasitic cheyletoid mites (Acari: Cheyletoidea) associated with passeriform
191 birds (Aves: Passeriformes) in Canada. *Can J Zool* 79:2014–2028. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjz-79-11-2014>

192 Bochkov AV, Galloway TD (2004) New Species and Records of Cheyletoid Mites (Acari: Cheyletoidea) from Birds
193 in Canada. *J Kansas Entomol Soc* 77:26–44. <https://doi.org/10.2317/0211.01.1>

194 Bochkov AV, Mironov SV (1998) Quill mites of the family *Syringophilidae* Lavoipierre, 1953 (Acariformes:
195 Prostigmata) parasitic on birds (Aves) of the fauna of the former USSR. *Acarina* 6:3–16.

196 Bochkov AV, Mironov SV (1999) New quill mite species of the family *Syringophilidae* (Acari: Cheyletoidea) from
197 the European part of Russia. *Acarina* 7:35–45.

198 Bochkov AV, Mironov SV, Kravtsova NT (2000) Two new syringophilid mites from the Greenfinch *Carduelis*
199 *chloris* (Passeriformes: Fringillidae) from Kirghizia (Acari: *Syringophilidae*). *Genus* 11: 351–358.

200 Bochkov AV, Mironov SV, Skoracki M (2001) Four new quill mite species of the genus *Syringophilopsis* Kethley,
201 1970 (Acariformes: Prostigmata). *Acarina* 9:233–239.

202 Bochkov AV, Fain A, Skoracki M (2004) New quill mites of the family Syringophilidae (Acari: Cheyletoidea). Syst
203 Parasitol 57:135–150. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:SYPA.0000013858.86399.69>

204 Bochkov AV, Flannery ME, Spicer GS (2009) Mites of the genus *Torotrogl*a (Prostigmata: Syringophilidae) from
205 North American passerines. J Med Entomol 46:183–197. <https://doi.org/10.1603/033.046.0203>

206 Caddigan SC, Pfenning AC, Sparkes TC 2017. Competitive growth, energy allocation, and host modification in the
207 acanthocephalan *Acanthocephalus dirus*: field data. Parasitol Res 116:199–206. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-016-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-016-5279-8)
208 [5279-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-016-5279-8)

209 Casto SD (1974) Entry and exit of syringophilid mites (Acarina: Syringophilidae) from the lumen of the quill.
210 Wilson Bull 86:272–278.

211 Chirov PA, Kravtsova NT (1995) A new genus and new species of mites of the family Syringophilidae.
212 Parazitologiya 29:370–379.

213 Dabert M, Witalinski W, Kazmierski A, Olszanowski Z, Dabert J (2010) Molecular phylogeny of acariform mites
214 (Acari, Arachnida): strong conflict between phylogenetic signal and longbranch attraction artifacts. Mol Phylogenet
215 Evol 56(1):222–241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2009.12.020>

216 Fain A, Bochkov AV, Mironov SV (2000) New genera and species of quill mites of the family Syringophilidae
217 (Acari: Prostigmata). Bull Inst r sci nat Belg, Entomol 70:33–70.

218 Fairbairn DJ (1997) Allometry for sexual size dimorphism: pattern and process in the coevolution of body size in
219 males and females. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 28:659–687. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.28.1.659>

220 Fairbairn DJ, Preziosi RF (1994) Sexual selection and the evolution of allometry for sexual size dimorphism in the
221 Water Strider, *Aquarius remigis*. Am Nat 144:101–118. <https://doi.org/10.1086/285663>

222 Felsenstein J (1985) Phylogenies and the comparative method. Am Nat 125:1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1086/284325>

223 Glowska E (2014) New quill mites (Cheyletoidea: Syringophilidae) parasitizing tyrannid birds (Passeriformes:
224 Tyrannidae) in Peru. Zootaxa 3814(1):139–145. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3814.1.9>

225 Glowska E, Skoracki M (2011) New species of quill mites (Acari, Cheyletoidea, Syringophilidae) and the first record
226 of male for the genus *Stibarokris*. *Zootaxa* 2817:63–68.

227 Glowska E, Laniecka I, Milensky CM (2015) Two new picobiiin mite species (Acari: Cheyletoidea: Syringophilidae)
228 parasitizing passerine birds in Guyana. *Acta Parasitol* 60(3):488–493. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ap-2015-0069>

229 Glowska E, Romanowska K, Schmidt BK, Dabert M (2018) Combined description (morphology with DNA
230 barcodedata) of a new quill mite *Torotroglia paenae* n. sp. (Acariformes: Syringophilidae) parasitising the Kalahari
231 scrub-robin *Cercotrichas paena* (Smith) (Passeriformes: Muscicapidae) in Namibia. *Syst Parasitol* 95:863–869.
232 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-018-9815-z>

233 Harnos A, Lang Z, Petrás D, Bush SE, Szabó K, Rózsa L (2017) Size matters for lice on birds: Coevolutionary
234 allometry of host and parasite body size. *Evolution* 71:421–431. <https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13147>

235 Honěk A (1993) Intraspecific variation in body size and fecundity in insects: a general relationship. *Oikos* 66:483–
236 492. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3544943>

237 Kethley JB (1970) A revision of the family Syringophilidae (Prostigmata: Acarina). *Contrib Am Entomol Inst* 5:1–
238 76.

239 Kethley JB (1971) Population regulation in Quill Mites (Acarina: Syringophilidae). *Ecology* 52(6):1113–1118.
240 <https://doi.org/10.2307/1933821>

241 Klimovičová M, Skoracki M, Njoroge P, Hromada M (2016) Two new species of the family Syringophilidae
242 (Prostigmata: Syringophilidae) parasitising Bushshrikes (Passeriformes: Malaconotidae). *J Parasitol* 102(2):187–192.
243 <https://doi.org/10.1645/15-870>

244 Legendre P (2018) Package ‘lmodel2’. R library. Available online at: [https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lmodel2/index.html)
245 [project.org/web/packages/lmodel2/index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lmodel2/index.html). Accessed: January 5, 2021.

246 Nattress B, Skoracki M (2007) Quill mites of the family Syringophilidae Lavoipierre, 1953 (Acari: Cheyletoidea)
247 parasitic on birds in England. *Genus* 18:139–145.

248 Nelson BC (1972) A revision of the New World species of *Ricinus* (Mallophaga) occurring on Passeriformes (Aves).
249 *UC Publ Entomol* 68:1– 175.

250 Pap PL, Adam C, Vágási CI, Benkő Z, Vincze O (2013) Sex ratio and sexual dimorphism of three lice species with
251 contrasting prevalence parasitizing the house sparrow. *J Parasitol* 99(1):24–30. <https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-3157.1>

252 Piross IS, Harnos A, Rózsa L (2019) Rensch's rule in avian lice: contradictory allometric trends for sexual size
253 dimorphism. *Sci Rep* 9:7908. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44370-5>

254 Poulin R (1996) Sexual size dimorphism and transition to parasitism in copepods. *Evolution* 50:2520–2523.
255 <https://doi.org/10.2307/2410720>

256 Poulin R (1997) Covariation of sexual size dimorphism and adult sex ratio in parasitic nematodes. *Biol J Linn Soc*
257 62:567–580. <https://doi.org/10.1006/bijl.1997.0167>

258 Poulin R, Morand S (2014) *Parasite biodiversity*. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC.

259 Reiczigel J, Harnos A, Solymosi N (2014) *Biostatistika nem statisztikusoknak [Biostatistics for non statisticians]*.
260 3rd ed., Pars Ltd., Budapest (in Hungarian).

261 Rensch B (1959) *Evolution above the species level*. Methuen and Co. Ltd., London.

262 Rheinwald G (1968) Die Mallophagengattung *Ricinus* De Geer, 1778. Revision der ausseramerikanischen Arten.
263 *Mitt Hamburg Zool Mus Inst* 65:181–326.

264 Rózsa L, Tryjanowski P, Vas Z (2015) Under the changing climate: how shifting geographic distributions and sexual
265 selection shape parasite diversification. In: Morand S, Krasnov B, Littlewood T (eds) *Parasite diversity and*
266 *diversification: evolutionary ecology meets phylogenetics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 58–76.

267 Sikora B, Fajfer M, Skoracki M (2011) Quill mites (Acari: Syringophilidae) from mimid birds (Aves: Mimidae).
268 *Zootaxa* 3027:29–38.

269 Sikora B, Kaszewska K, Skoracki M (2014) Two new quill mites of the family Syringophilidae (Acari: Prostigmata)
270 parasitising the tapaculos (Passeriformes: Rhinocryptidae) in South America. *Zootaxa* 3895(3):419–426.
271 <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3895.3.6>

272 Sikora B, Unsöld M, Skoracki M (2016) *Aulonastus paridus* spec. nov. – a parasite of two bird species of the genus
273 *Melaniparus* in Kenya and Tanzania. *Spixiana* 39(2):149–152.

274 Skoracki M (1999) New genus and species of Syringophilidae from Eurasian Reed- Warbler, *Acrocephalus*
275 *scirpaceus* (Sylviidae: Passeriformes) (Acari: Prostigmata). *Genus* 10:155–162.

276 Skoracki M (2002a) Three new species of quill mites of the genus *Aulonastus* Kethley, 1970 (Acari, Prostigmata,
277 Syringophilidae) from passerine birds. *Acta Parasitol* 47:300–305.

278 Skoracki M (2002b) Three new species of the ectoparasitic mites of the genus *Syringophiloidus* Kethley, 1970
279 (Acari: Syringophilidae) from passeriform birds from Slovakia. *Folia Parasit* 49:305–313.
280 <https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2002.057>

281 Skoracki M (2004a) A review of quill mites of the genus *Syringophiloidus* Kethley, 1970 parasitizing quills of
282 passeriform birds, with descriptions of four new species (Acari: Prostigmata: Syringophilidae). *Genus* 15:281–300.

283 Skoracki M (2004b) Quill mites of the genus *Syringophilopsis* (Acari, Syringophilidae) from passeriform birds of
284 Poland with descriptions of five new species. *Acta Parasitol* 49:45–62.

285 Skoracki M (2004c) New data on systematics of the quill mites of the genus *Torotroglia* Kethley, 1970 (Acari:
286 Syringophilidae). *Belg J Entomol* 6:303–314.

287 Skoracki M (2011) Quill mites (Acari: Syringophilidae) of the Palaearctic region. *Zootaxa* 2840:1–414.

288 Skoracki M (2017) Quill mites (Acariformes: Syringophilidae) associated with birds of Mexico. *Zootaxa* 4282 (1):
289 179–191. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4282.1.11>

290 Skoracki M, Bochkov AV (2010) Syringophilid mites from Kazakhstan. *Zootaxa* 2546:52–68.

291 Skoracki M, Dabert M (1999) A new species of the genus *Syringophilopsis* Kethley, 1970 (Acari:Prostigmata:
292 Syringophilidae) from the Tree Pipit *Anthus trivialis* (Passeriformes: Motacillidae). *Acarina* 7:89–92.

293 Skoracki M, Dabert M (2000) *Syringophilopsis albicollisi* sp.n., a new species of the quill mite of the family
294 Syringophilidae (Acari: Prostigmata). *Acarina* 8:59–63.

295 Skoracki M, Dabert M (2001a) The quill mites of the genus *Syringophilopsis* Kethley, 1970 (Acari: Syringophilidae)
296 from African birds. *Acarina* 9:105–112.

297 Skoracki M, Dabert M (2001b) Two new species of the parasitic mites of the genus *Aulobia* Kethley, 1970 (Acari,
298 Syringophilidae) from African birds. *Acta Parasitol* 46: 208–215.

299 Skoracki M, Dabert M (2002) A review of parasitic mites of the family Syringophilidae (Acari, Prostigmata) from
300 African birds, with descriptions of four new species. *Acta Parasitol* 47:137–146.

301 Skoracki M, Glowska E (2008a) Two new species of the quill mite genus *Aulobia* Kethley, 1970 (Acari,
302 Syringophilidae) associated with sunbirds (Passeriformes, Nectariniidae). *Acta Parasitol* 53:179–185.
303 <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-008-0022-y>

304 Skoracki M, Glowska E (2008b) Two new species of the genus *Picobia* Haller (Acari: Syringophilidae) from
305 Australian and Indonesian passeriform birds. *New Zeal J Zool* 35:281–286.
306 <https://doi.org/10.1080/03014220809510125>

307 Skoracki M, Hromada M (2013) A review of picobiine mites (Acari: Syringophilidae: Picobiinae) parasitising
308 African birds. *Folia Parasit* 60:192–212. <https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2013.022>.

309 Skoracki M, Magowski W (2001) Two new species of quill mites of the genus *Picobia* (Acari: Prostigmata:
310 Syringophilidae) from passerine birds of Poland. *Acarina* 9:113–119.

311 Skoracki M, Mironov SV (2013) New species and records of quill mites of the family Syringophilidae (Acari:
312 Prostigmata) from the passerines (Aves: Passeriformes) from the Russian Far East. *Zootaxa* 3641(5):554–564.
313 <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3641.5.4>

314 Skoracki M, OConnor B (2010) New taxa of quill mites (Acari: Cheyletoidea: Syringophilidae). *Zootaxa* 2341:1–32.

315 Skoracki M, Sikora B (2003) Quill mites (Acari: Prostigmata: Syringophilidae) from African passeriform birds.
316 *Zootaxa* 129:1–10.

317 Skoracki M, Sikora B (2014) Two new quill mite species of the family Syringophilidae (Acari: Prostigmata)
318 parasitising the house sparrow *Passer domesticus* (L.) (Aves: Passeriformes). *Zootaxa* 3765(2):194–200.
319 <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3765.2.7>

320 Skoracki M, Magowski W, Dabert J (2000a) *Picobia polonica* sp. n. (Acari: Prostigmata: Syringophilidae), a new
321 species of quill mite from the domestic hen, *Gallus gallus domesticus* (Aves: Phasianidae). *Folia Parasit* 48:154–158.
322 <https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2001.024>

323 Skoracki M, Dabert M, Ehrnsberger R (2000b) A new quill mite (Acari: Syringophilidae) from the Blackbird.
324 *Osnabrucker Naturwissenschaftliche Mitteilungen* 26:191–198.

325 Skoracki M, Hromada M, Kuczynski L (2001a) *Torotroglia lullulae*, a new species of the family Syringophilidae
326 Lavoipierre, 1953 (Acari: Prostigmata: Cheyletoidea). *Genus* 12:87–92.

327 Skoracki M, Hromada M, Tryjanowski P (2001b) Description of a new species of quill mite *Syringophiloidus weiszii*
328 sp. n. (Acari, Prostigmata, Syringophilidae) from Great Grey Shrike *Lanius excubitor*. *Acta Parasitol* 46:30–34.

329 Skoracki M, Tryjanowski P, Hromada M (2002) Two new species of the genus *Syringophilopsis* Kethley, 1970
330 (Acari: Syringophilidae) parasitizing quills of true shrikes (Aves: Laniidae). *Parasite* 9:11–16.
331 <https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/200209111>

332 Skoracki M, Møller AP, Tryjanowski P (2003) A new species of parasitic mites of the genus *Syringophiloidus*
333 Kethley, 1970 (Acari: Syringophilidae) from the Barn Swallow *Hirundo rustica* Linnaeus, 1758. *Parasite* 10:17–20.
334 <https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2003101p17>

335 Skoracki M, Bochkov AV, Wauthy G (2004) Revision of the quill mites of the genus *Picobia* Haller, 1878 (Acari:
336 Syringophilidae) with notes on their host-parasites relationships. *Insect Syst Evol* 35:155–176. <https://doi.org/10.1163/187631204788912409>

337

338 Skoracki M, Flannery ME, Spicer GS (2008a) Quill mites of the genus *Syringophilopsis* Kethley, 1970 (Acari:
339 Syringophilidae) from North American birds. *Folia Parasit* 55:291–300. <https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2008.037>

340 Skoracki M, Glowska E, Sikora B (2008b) Four new species of the quill mite genus *Picobia* Haller (Acari:
341 Syringophilidae) parasitizing birds in the Australian Region. *Zootaxa* 1961:58–68.

342 Skoracki M, Glowska E, Lontkowski J, Stawarczyk T (2010a) *Picobia ictericus* sp. n., an ectoparasite of two icterid
343 bird species from Brazil (Acari: Prostigmata: Syringophilidae). *Genus* 21(1):143–148.

344 Skoracki M, Hendricks S, Spicer GS (2010b) Systematics of the ectoparasitic quill mites of the genus *Aulobia*
345 Kethley, 1970 (Acari: Syringophilidae) with the description of a new species. *Zootaxa* 2399:31–41.

346 Skoracki M, Hendricks S, Spicer GS (2010c) Four new species of *Aulonastus* Kethley, 1970 (Acari:
347 Syringophilidae) from North American passerines. *Syst Parasitol* 76:131–144. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-010-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-010-9240-4)
348 9240-4

349 Skoracki M, Hendricks S, Spicer GS (2010d) New species of parasitic quill mites of the genus *Picobia* (Acari:
350 Syringophilidae: Picobiinae) from North American birds. *J Med Entomol* 47:727–742.
351 <https://doi.org/10.1603/ME09265>

352 Skoracki M, Hendricks S, Spicer GS (2011) Systematics of the genus *Syringophilopsis* Kethley, 1970 (Acari:
353 Prostigmata: Syringophilidae) with description of three new species from North American passerines. *Zootaxa*
354 2793:1–22.

355 Skoracki M, Bochkov AV, Zabludovskaya SA (2012a) A review of Prostigmata (Acariformes: Trombidiformes)
356 permanently associated with birds. *Acarina* 20(2):67–107.

357 Skoracki M, Solarczyk P, Sikora B (2012b) Three new species of picobiine mites (Acari: Syringophilidae)
358 parasitizing African flycatchers (Aves: Muscicapidae). *Syst Parasitol* 83:123–135. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-012-9376-5)
359 012-9376-5

360 Skoracki M, Hromada M, Unsoeld M (2013a) Three new quill mite species of the genus *Neoaulonastus* Skoracki
361 (Acari: Syringophilidae) parasitizing passerines in Tanzania. *Zootaxa* 3616(4):367–377.
362 <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3616.4.5>

363 Skoracki M, Mironov SV, Unsoeld M (2013b) The first records of quill mites of the family Syringophilidae
364 (Acariformes: Prostigmata: Cheyletoidea) from trogoniform birds (Aves: Trogoniformes). *Zootaxa* 3701 (2): 291–
365 297. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3701.2.9>

366 Skoracki M, Klimovičová M, Muchai M, Hromada M (2014a) New taxa of the family Syringophilidae (Acari:
367 Prostigmata) from the African barbets and woodpeckers (Piciformes: Lybiidae, Picidae). *Zootaxa* 3768(2):178–188.
368 <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3768.2.5>

369 Skoracki M, Spicer GS, OConnor BM (2014b) A review of mites of the subfamily Picobiinae Johnston and Kethley,
370 1973 (Prostigmata: Syringophilidae) from North American birds. *Syst Parasitol* 87:99–110.
371 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11230-013-9460-5>

372 Skoracki M, Unsoeld M, Kavetska K, Kaszewska K (2014c) Quill mites of the subfamily Picobiinae (Acari:
373 Syringophilidae) associated with woodpeckers (Aves: Piciformes: Picidae). *Acta Parasitol* 59: 68–79.
374 <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-014-0210-x>

375 Skoracki M, OConnor BM, Goodman SM, Marciniak N, Sikora B (2016a) New species and records of syringophilid
376 mites (Acariformes: Syringophilidae) associated with Malagasy birds. *Syst Appl Acarol* 21(11):1534–1546.
377 <https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.21.11.9>

378 Skoracki M, Sikora B, Spicer GS (2016b) A review of the subfamily Picobiinae Johnston and Kethley, 1973
379 (Acariformes: Prostigmata: Syringophilidae). *Zootaxa* 4113(1):1–95. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4113.1.1>

380 Skoracki M, Spicer GS, OConnor BM (2016c) A systematic review of the subfamily Syringophilinae (Acari:
381 Syringophilidae) of the Nearctic region. Part 1: quill mites associated with passerines (Aves: Passeriformes). *Zootaxa*
382 4084(4):451–494. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4084.4.1>

383 Skoracki M, Sikora B, Marciniak N, Zmudzinski M (2017) *Syringophiloidus bucerotidus* sp. nov. (Acari:
384 Syringophilidae), a new quill mite species parasitizing hornbills (Aves: Bucerotidae) in the Sub-Saharan Africa. *Int J*
385 *Acarol* 43(1):39–43. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01647954.2016.1216598>

386 Skoracki M, Hromada M, Zmudzinski M, Unsoeld M, Sikora B (2018) Parasitic quill mites of the family
387 Syringophilidae (Acariformes: Prostigmata) associated with sub-Saharan Sunbirds (Passeriformes: Nectariniidae):
388 species composition and host-parasite relationships. *J Med Entomol* 55(6):1464–1477.
389 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjy106>

390 Skoracki M, Hromada M, Kaszewska K, Sikora B (2020) Females of the quill mite genera *Peristerophila* and
391 *Castosyringophilus* (Acariformes: Syringophilidae) are two morphological forms: ontogenetic and population
392 evidences. *Syst Appl Acarol* 25(10):1803–1820. <https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.25.10.6>

393 Smith RJ, Cheverud JM (2002) Scaling of sexual dimorphism in body mass: a phylogenetic analysis of Rensch's rule
394 in Primates. *Int J Primatol* 23:1095–1135. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1019654100876>

395 Surkova EN, Korralo-Vinarskaya NP, Vinarski MV et al. (2018) Sexual size dimorphism and sex ratio in arthropod
396 ectoparasites: contrasting patterns at different hierarchical scales. *Int J Parasitol* 48(12): 969–978.
397 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2018.05.006>.

398 Székely T, Freckleton RP, Reynolds JD (2004) Sexual selection explains Rensch's rule of size dimorphism in
399 shorebirds. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101:12224–12227. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0404503101>

400 Villa SM, Evans MD, Subhani YK, Altuna JC, Bush SE, Clayton DH (2018) Body size and fecundity are correlated
401 in feather lice (Phthiraptera: Ischnocera): implications for Harrison's rule. *Ecol Entomol* 43:394–396.
402 <https://doi.org/10.1111/een.12511>

403 Waller JT, Svensson EI (2017) Body size evolution in an old insect order: no evidence for Cope's Rule in spite of
404 fitness benefits of large size. *Evolution* 71(9):2178-2193. <https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13302>

405 Webb TJ, Freckleton RP (2007) Only half right: species with female-biased sexual size dimorphism consistently
406 break Rensch's rule. *PLoS ONE* 2(9):e897. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000897>

407 Zmudzinski M, Skoracki M, Sikora B (2020) An updated checklist of quill mites of the family Syringophilidae
408 (Acariformes: Prostigmata): v2020. <https://sites.google.com/site/syringophilidae/>. Accessed 27 August 2021

409

410 **Table 1** Reduced Major Axis regression models for the relationship between female body length and male body
 411 length for the whole family of quill mites, and for 8 genera separately. Slopes < 1 indicate agreement with ConRR,
 412 while slopes > 1 indicate agreement with RR.

413

taxon	N species	R²	slope	95% CI lower	95% CI upper	trend	significance 95%
Syringophilidae	113	0.851	0.689	0.640	0.741	ConRR	S
<i>Aulonastus</i>	8	0.470	0.795	0.405	1.560	ConRR	NS
<i>Torotroglia</i>	11	0.416	0.693	0.400	1.199	ConRR	NS
<i>Neoaulonastus</i>	6	0.971	1.281	1.014	1.617	RR	S
<i>Aulobia</i>	10	0.606	0.811	0.496	1.326	ConRR	NS
<i>Neopicobia</i>	7	0.768	0.452	0.266	0.766	ConRR	S
<i>Picobia</i>	14	0.373	0.504	0.312	0.814	ConRR	S
<i>Syringophiloidus</i>	25	0.524	0.725	0.541	0.973	ConRR	S
<i>Syringophilopsis</i>	32	0.505	0.651	0.502	0.844	ConRR	S

414

415

416 **Fig 1** Male body length as a function of female body length in 113 species of Syringophilid quill mites. Each dot
417 indicates a species, and the different genera are not differentiated. RMA regression lines of the eight genera are
418 indicated separately. Solid lines signify those that differ from slope=1 significantly ($P < 0.05$). Their slopes are < 1
419 indicating that they comply ConRR, with the exception of *Neoaulonastus* which complies RR (slope > 1). Dashed
420 lines signify genera that comply ConRR non-significantly ($P > 0.05$).

